

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

~V

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/800,793	03/07/2001	John Hathaway	940-3079-U	5476
7590 07/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert H. Earp, III			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
Mcdonald, Hop	kins, Burke & Haber Co	., L.P. A.		
2100 Bank One Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 Superior Avenue, E.			3727	
Cleveland, OH	44114-2653			

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WF

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/800,793	HATHAWAY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robin A. Hylton	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<i>Npril 2004</i> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.						
·-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the disclosed conventional closure in view of Williams.

Applicant discloses it is known in the art to provide a closure having a cap portion, an annular sealing surface extending below the cap portion and having a part line flash thereon, the sealing surface engaging a gasket between the cap and a container opening, and an annular threaded section below the sealing surface. Applicant discloses the conventional closure does not teach a pair of annular sealing bands on the sealing surface through at least a portion of the part line flash.

Williams teaches it is known to provide a closure having at least one annular sealing band for engaging a gasket above the closure threads and between the closure and an associated container neck.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one annular sealing band to the sealing surface of the conventional known closure disclosed by applicant as taught by Williams. Doing so would correct for defects of the closure and associated container opening to provide a more reliable seal. To provide a pair of annular beads instead of only one would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed April 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although applicant's arguments are persuasive to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 102 of anticipation, they are not persuasive to overcome the 35 USC 103 of obviousness. While the glass closure of Williams is capable of being made without a part line flash, it does teach providing a sealing band to engage a gasket between the closure and an associated container neck. Applying this teaching to the conventional closure disclosed by applicant would have been obvious for the reasons set forth above. As also seen in the to Booraem et al., it is known to provide sealing bands above a continuous sealing surface for ensuring a close fit and tight seal between the closure and an associated container neck.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.

Art Unit: 3727

- 6. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 7. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The	I hereby certify that this correspond U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via	lence for Application Serial No fax number (703) 872-9306 on the	
	Typed or printed name of person s		
	Signature		
	Date		

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Technology Center 3700 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148 or may

Art Unit: 3727

be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH July 13, 2004

Primary Examiner

GAU 3727